

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/530256
Rec'd PCT/PTO

01 APR 2005

PCT

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/001099

International filing date (day/month/year)
08.04.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
H04Q7/38

Applicant
NOKIA CORPORATION

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☒ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1 (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Poort, I

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3871





Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

Box No. II Priority

1. ☒ The following document has not been furnished:

☒ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(a)).

☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	2,6,10,12,14-16,24-27
	No: Claims	1,3,4,5,7-9,11,13,17-23,28
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-28
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-28
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 00/49824 A (NOKIA NETWORKS OY ; NAGHIAN SIAMAIEK (FI)) 24 August 2000 (2000-08-24)
- D2: GB-A-2 386 282 (PA CONSULTING SERVICES) 10 September 2003 (2003-09-10)
- D3: WO 03/103235 A (ERICSSON TELEFON AB L M ; HEDEN KARIN (SE); ERIKSSON ANN-CHRISTINE (SE) 11 December 2003 (2003-12-11)
- D4: WO 01/76165 A (MOTOROLA INC) 11 October 2001 (2001-10-11)
- D5: US-B-6 556 8241 (TSENG YICHYUN ET AL) 29 April 2003 (2003-04-29)

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of **claim 1** is **not new** in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a method for controlling the use of transmission resources, wherein transmission resources for a transmission between a first entity and a second entity can be used by at least a first connection and a second connection, comprising:

checking whether QoS requirements of said first connection can still be guaranteed when said transmission resources are jointly used by said first connection and said second connection (see page 6, lines 12 to 19), and

controlling the use of at least one portion of said transmission resources by at least one of said first and second connections, accordingly (see page 6, lines 29 to 34).

2. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the



corresponding independent claims **17-21 and 28**, which therefore are also considered **not new**.

3. Dependent claims 2-16, 22-27 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.